

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-24-0113-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v SALVADOR BARRON

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 29-Apr-2024
 Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee
 (Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Appellee

Alice Jones, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28062)
 Kristin K. Mayes, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 022584)
 Tanja K Kelly, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25205)

Side 2. SALVADOR BARRON, Appellant
 (Litigant Group) SALVADOR BARRON

- Salvador Barron

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Apr 29, 2024....Awaiting Petition for Review

Apr 29, 2024....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA 2 CA-CR 23-0063					
↪ PIN S1100CR202100081			Daniel A Washburn, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 29-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Additional Time to File Petition for Review (Appellant Barron, Pro Se)
- 30-Apr-2024 Appellant Barron filed a "Motion for Additional Time to File Petition for Review" on April 29, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by May 15, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)